

'Philanthropist' George Soros Set To Make A Killing From Europe's 'Forced Migration'



by [Tyler Durden](#)

Oct 21, 2016 8:10 PM

0
SHARES

[Authored by Sam Gerrans, originally posted op-ed via RT.com.](#)

The philanthropist George Soros recently published a letter in the Wall Street Journal entitled, 'Why I'm Investing 500 million USD in Migrants'. In this article, I will be **looking at that letter and separating what it means from what it appears to say.**

Soros' [letter](#) begins: "***The world has been unsettled by a surge in forced migration. Tens of millions of people are on the move, fleeing their home countries in search of a better life abroad. Some are escaping civil war or an oppressive regime; others are forced out by extreme poverty, lured by the possibility of economic advancement for themselves and their families.***"

This is quite true. And Soros should know since his [think tank](#) is fully on board with that "forced migration". He has either initiated it or facilitated it and, according to Viktor Orban, Prime Minister of Hungary (which is presently holding a referendum on whether to accept migrant quotas as demanded by the EU), as [quoted](#) by Bloomberg: "*His name is perhaps the strongest example of those who support anything that weakens nation states, they support everything that changes the traditional European lifestyle [...] These activists who support immigrants inadvertently become part of this international human-smuggling network.*"

Soros-backed activists are at the [center](#) of that network.

Soros continues: "***Our collective failure to develop and implement effective policies to handle the increased flow has contributed greatly to human misery and political instability—both in countries people are fleeing and in the countries that host them, willingly or not. Migrants are often forced into lives of idle despair, while host countries fail to reap the proven benefit that greater integration could bring.***"

I have touched on Soros' psychological peculiarities elsewhere; his narcissistic traits notwithstanding, I shall assume he is not using the royal "we". That granted, about whom is he speaking when he talks of "Our collective failure to develop and implement effective policies"? If about governments, we should remember that he is elected to no nation's government, nor has he ever been.

That does not prevent him, however, from meddling in their internal affairs and supporting insurrections such as so-called Color Revolutions, including in [Georgia](#) and [Ukraine](#), and whipping up chaos via [BLM](#) in the US.

He also famously [attacked](#) the British pound, making himself a billion dollars.

He wishes the reader to assume inclusion by his use of "our". But we are not included; we are simply being told what is to happen.

He then writes of "the proven benefit that greater integration could bring". This is almost a rhetorical conundrum; he and his lawyers expect – not without reason – that most people will provide their own color to what the words on the page say. He claims proof but provides none – and that is a major omission given that we are expected to entrust our entire cultural and economic future to his assertions.

Many countries, including Japan, China, UAE, Israel and Singapore, are extremely careful to whom they grant citizenship. If the benefits Soros claims were proven, surely they would be on board, too.

EU has 900 'no-go' areas because of migrants - Hungary <https://t.co/gvCJ5lzUeT>
pic.twitter.com/CXjYw3cbRp
— RT (@RT_com) [April 2, 2016](#)

To continue: *"Governments must play the leading role in addressing this crisis by creating and sustaining adequate physical and social infrastructure for migrants and refugees. But harnessing the power of the private sector is also critical.*

Recognizing this, the Obama administration recently launched a "Call to Action" asking U.S. companies to play a bigger role in meeting the challenges posed by forced migration. Today, private-sector leaders are assembling at the United Nations to make concrete commitments to help solve the problem."

Soros, naturally, does not blush at telling us what our governments "must" do.

The term *"forced migration"* is clever mind hook. You may be sure that it was worked on for hours and many alternatives discarded. Its power lies in the fact that it implies both helplessness in the face of an unstoppable external force and inevitability of result – while at the same time disregarding causes.

If anyone still cares, the causes include: attacks by the US and Nato on countries which have done them no harm; Angela Merkel's [open invitation](#) to the third world to move to Europe; material and informational [support](#) from Soros-funded organizations.

Soros continues: *"In response, I have decided to earmark \$500 million for investments that specifically address the needs of migrants, refugees and host communities. I will invest in startups, established companies, social-impact initiatives and businesses founded by migrants and refugees themselves. Although my main concern is to help migrants and refugees arriving in Europe, I will be looking for good investment ideas that will benefit migrants all over the world."*

I will translate: "Now that the inflow of immigrants has been set up, I am going to invest \$500 million to make the process unstoppable, endless and self-funding, and make a lot of money for myself at the same time. And since this is dressed in the language of compassion, there is nothing you can say against it."

Back to Soros' letter: *"This commitment of investment equity will complement the philanthropic contributions my foundations have made to address forced migration, a problem we have been working on globally for decades and to which we have dedicated significant financial resources."*

Just remove the words 'philanthropic' (which does not mean at the elite level what you think it means) and realize that 'address' means 'facilitate' to Soros, and you will understand this sentence correctly; this is a carefully crafted statement of policy.

He continues: *"We will seek investments in a variety of sectors, among them emerging digital technology, which seems especially promising as a way to provide solutions to the particular problems that dislocated people often face. Advances in this sector can help people gain access more efficiently to government, legal, financial and health services. Private businesses are already investing billions of dollars to develop such services for non-migrant communities.*

This is why money now moves instantaneously from one mobile wallet to another, drivers find customers by using only a cellphone, and how a doctor in North America can see a patient in Africa in real time. Customizing and extending these innovations to serve migrants will help improve the quality of life for millions around the world.

All of the investments we make will be owned by my nonprofit organization. They are intended to be successful—because I want to show how private capital can play a constructive role helping migrants—and any profits will go to fund programs at the Open Society Foundations, including programs that benefit migrants and refugees."

Thus, anyone who wishes to will be able to plug into the system you and your families have been paying into all your lives and access its main arteries with nothing more than a mobile phone. Soros, meanwhile, makes a load more money which he can then plough into the very organizations which will make sure the inflow of migrants never stops.

Soros goes on to claim: "As longtime champions of civil society, we will be focused on ensuring that our investments lead to products and services that truly benefit migrants and host communities."

Leaving what Soros may mean by 'civil society', I turn to his use of 'benefit'; benefit according to whom? According to George Soros – a man who destabilizes sovereign states as part of his modus operandi.

Soros concludes: "We will also work closely with organizations such as the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees and the International Rescue Committee to establish principles to guide our investments. Our goal is to harness, for public good, the innovations that only the private sector can provide.

I hope my commitment will inspire other investors to pursue the same mission."

What this means in English is: "The fix is in, and now all you smaller fish further down the food chain can make a nice buck off the gravy train of selling your countries out because if this weren't a sure thing, I wouldn't be in it."

This is cultural- and ethnic-cleansing in a business suit; it is the de facto usurpation of the nation state as a social construct for the peoples of Europe as part of a multi-purpose war – one designed to destroy oil-rich states and any state with no central bank, while simultaneously collapsing sovereign states.

However, my point here is not the mass immigration – although with the inevitable, eventual annihilation of the middle class in Soros' "host countries" there will be nowhere for genuine refugees to go; **it is that we have taxation without even the fig leaf of representation so long as men like Soros can openly create and dictate policy.**


Comment viewing options

Threaded list - expanded ▼ Date - oldest first ▼

50 comments per page ▼ Save settings

Select your preferred way to display the comments and click "Save settings" to activate your changes.

 [Cognitive Dissonance](#) • Oct 21, 2016 8:12 PM


 Heads he wins, tails you and I lose.
He wouldn't have it any other way.

 [SMG](#) → [Cognitive Dissonance](#) • Oct 21, 2016 8:16 PM

While they would probably replace him.
Somebody get that evil son of a bitch.

 [TeamDepends](#) → [SMG](#) • Oct 21, 2016 8:19 PM

If Soros is a philanthropist then Stalin was a farmer.

 [Creative Destruct](#) → [TeamDepends](#) • Oct 21, 2016 9:20 PM

Yes, and Stalin, a vicious psychotic monster, was at least more productive than Soros in his murderous destruction.

Stalin, by stealing the peasant's crops, resulting in their eventual starvation (or killing them on the spot if they resisted) at least managed to get hard currency to industrialize the Soviet Union.

Soros will only destroy and disrupt viable societies with his schemes.